

FORENSIC OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

A Book of Case Studies

SCOTT I. FAIRGRIEVE

FORENSIC OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

ABOUT THE EDITOR

Scott I. Fairgrieve, Ph.D.

Forensic Osteologist, Forensic Biology Option Coordinator
Anthropology Program, and Department of Biology
Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Fairgrieve received his B.Sc. (Hon.) in Anthropology from the University of Toronto at Mississauga (Erindale College) in 1986. In 1987 he received an M.Phil. in Biological Anthropology from the University of Cambridge, England. Subsequently, he studied under Dr. Jerry Melbye at the University of Toronto, receiving his Ph.D. in Anthropology (specializing in human skeletal biology) in 1993. Since 1991, Dr. Fairgrieve has been a member of the faculty at Laurentian University cross-appointed between the Anthropology Program and the Department of Biology and is a tenured associate professor. Currently a member of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science and a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Dr. Fairgrieve acts as a consultant to law enforcement services in Northern Ontario. Dr. Fairgrieve's research has centred on biochemical analysis of Romano-Christian human skeletons from the Dakhleh Oasis, Egypt. More recently, his research has been centred on problems of determining age at death and the post-mortem interval of human skeletons. He is also the head of the only Forensic Biology Option within a Biology program in Canada.

FORENSIC OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

A Book of Case Studies

Edited by

SCOTT I. FAIRGRIEVE, PH.D.

*Anthropology Program and
Department of Biology
Laurentian University
Sudbury, Ontario, Canada*



Charles C Thomas
P U B L I S H E R • L T D.

SPRINGFIELD • ILLINOIS • U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.
2600 South First Street
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9265

This book is protected by copyright. No part of
it may be reproduced in any manner without written
permission from the publisher. All rights reserved.

© 2010 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 978-0-398-07939-0

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2010003016

*With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing
and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their
physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use.
THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name
and good will.*

*Printed in the United States of America
CR-R-3*

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Fairgrieve, Scott I.

Forensic osteological analysis: a book of case studies/edited by Scott I.

Fairgrieve, Ph.D.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index

ISBN 978-0-398-07939-0 (pbk.)

1. Forensic anthropology-- Case studies. 2. Forensic osteology--Case stud-
ies I. Fairgrieve, Scott I. II. Title.

GN69.8.F67 2010

614'.17--dc22

2010003016

*To
my son Sean
who is a constant source of pride, surprise and wonder.*

OTHER CONTRIBUTORS

Gail S. Anderson, Ph.D.

Forensic Entomologist, School of Criminology
Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

Dr. Anderson received her B.Sc.(Hon.) in Zoology from Manchester University, England in 1983, a masters of Pest Management from Simon Fraser University in 1986, and her Ph.D. from Simon Fraser University in 1992. Her present position is jointly funded by the University, the RCMP and by the B.C. Coroner's Service. She is presently the only full-time forensic entomologist in Canada. Dr. Anderson is also a forensic entomology consultant to city police and conservation officers across Canada. Her work is used in homicide and poaching investigations. She is an instructor at the Canadian Police College, the B.C. Justice Institute, and regularly provides expert witness testimony in court. She is a member of the Canadian Society of Forensic Sciences, American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Canadian Identification Society, Pacific Northwest Forensic Study Group, Entomological Society of America and the B.C. Entomological Society (President 1995/1996). She is a director of the American Board of Forensic Entomology.

Owen Beattie, Ph.D.

Forensic Anthropologist, Department of Anthropology
University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Dr. Beattie is currently Professor and Associate Chair of the Department of Anthropology, University of Alberta. He received his Ph.D. in 1981 from Simon Fraser University and joined the University of Alberta in 1980. His research interests involve identification problems in forensic anthropology, as well as issues regarding historical mass disasters in the Arctic. The latter research is described in the books *Frozen in Time*, *Buried in Ice*, and *Dead Silence*. He is a member of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science, the Canadian Association for Physical Anthropology, a provisional member of the American Academy of Forensic Science, and is the Consultant Physical Anthropologist to the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (Edmonton). In addition to assisting in forensic cases of human identification for various police, coroners', and medical examiners' agencies in Canada, he has recently been involved as an assistant in short field missions in Rwanda and Somalia, sponsored by Physicians for Human Rights.

Robert A. Benfer, Jr., Ph.D.

Department of Anthropology

University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri

Dr. Benfer is a Professor of Anthropology at the University of Missouri-Columbia. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Texas at Austin in 1968, where he studied forensic anthropology with Thomas W. McKern. He is a member of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and the American Association of Physical Anthropologists and is a fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Dr. Benfer has been director of the forensic section of his department. Last semester he taught a research seminar that investigated the application of artificial intelligence and resampling methods to estimation of sex from materials that one of his students had collected. Essentially a methodologist, his research interests have been most heavily focused in bioarchaeology, especially in South America. That is how he became involved with the identification of the remains of Francisco Pizarro. Current research (recent publications, papers in press or submitted) include: the role of a new disease from the Amazon in the rapid depopulation of the first large centers in Peru; parental investment in children in prehistory; changing gender roles in prehistory; bootstrap estimation of missing data in a matrix; a novel Bayesian discriminant function identification of early domesticated rice; identification of maize consumption from dental calculus; and a review (in Spanish) of central coastal bioarchaeology.

Barry Blenkinsop, Chief Pathology Assistant (Ontario)

Forensic Pathology Unit, Office of the Chief Coroner

Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Barry Blenkinsop has been employed for the past 23 years as chief pathology assistant at the Office of the Chief Coroner, province of Ontario. Prior to this, he spent six years in pathology in a large teaching hospital and has a certificate in Gross Anatomical and Surgical Pathology. He also was employed for three years as an x-ray technician in a mobile chest clinic.

David Chiasson, M.D., F.R.C.P.C., Chief Forensic Pathologist (Ontario)

Forensic Pathology Unit, Office of the Chief Coroner

Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Dr. David Chiasson received his medical degree from Dalhousie University in 1979 and certification in Anatomical Pathology from the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons (Canada) and the American Board of Pathology in 1987. After completing fellowship training in Forensic Pathology at the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner in Baltimore, MD, he obtained a subspecialty certification in Forensic Pathology from the American Board of Pathology in 1992. In April 1994, he was

appointed to the position of chief forensic pathologist in the Office of the Chief Coroner for Ontario.

Anthony B. Falsetti, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Director, C.A. Pound Human Identification Laboratory
The University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida

Dr. Falsetti received his training in forensic anthropology under Dr. William M. Bass while working on his master's and doctoral degrees at the University of Tennessee-Knoxville. Dr. Falsetti is the currently the director of the C.A. Pound Human Identification Laboratory and an Assistant Professor in the Department of Anthropology at the University of Florida. He is a consultant for all 24 medical examiner districts throughout the state of Florida and an Associate Medical Examiner for Dade County, Florida. He is also a consultant to the New York State Police Forensic Sciences Unit. Dr. Falsetti is a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and a diplomate of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology.

Roxana Ferllini, M.A.

Department of Anthropology and School of Medicine
University of Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica

Roxana Ferllini received her bachelor's degree in 1987 and her master's degree in 1989 from the University of Oregon in physical anthropology. Since 1989 she has been on faculty at the University of Costa Rica, in the School of Medicine and the Anthropology Department. In 1993, she became the head of the Criminalistics Department at the State University. In addition to teaching and research she has been engaged in forensic anthropological consultations for the Costa Rican Supreme Court, Aviateca Airlines and in Rwanda, as part of the team involved in the recovery and identification of human remains through Physicians for Human Rights. In addition to being an author of several articles and a book, she has given lectures in the national and international community. She is currently is a member of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences.

Katherine L. Gruspier, B.A., M.A.

Department of Anthropology
University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Katherine L. Gruspier received her B.A. from Wilfrid Laurier University, and her M.A. from the University of Sheffield. She is currently completing her Ph.D. at the University of Toronto. Ms. Gruspier has been excavating and analyzing human skeletal remains from sites in Jordan since 1982 and Italy since 1987. She is a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and a member of a number of other professional organizations. She teaches on contract at the University of Toronto and has been a forensic anthropology consultant to the Office of the Chief Coroner of Ontario in Toronto since 1993.

Philip Hodge, B.Sc., M.A.

RCMP Forensic Laboratory
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Philip Hodge is a Biology Specialist at the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Forensic Laboratory in Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. He has a B.Sc. (1977) in Chemistry from the University of Manitoba and received his M.A., specializing in Physical Anthropology (Genetics Option), from the University of Manitoba in 1982. He joined the RCMP Forensic Laboratory in 1984 as a Serology Specialist and is at present working as a Biologist Specialist in the area of DNA analysis. He has worked on over 1200 criminal cases and testified at both Provincial and Queen's Bench levels in the Law Courts of Manitoba, Canada.

Sonja M. Jerkic, Ph.D.

Archaeology Unit, Department of Anthropology
Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

Dr. Jerkic is an Associate Professor of Anthropology in the Archaeology Unit of the Department of Anthropology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada. She received an M.A.(1968) and a Ph.D. (1975) from the University of Toronto. Following research on early historic Native American skeletal remains from Ontario, she has concentrated on the skeletal biology and pathology of human remains from Northeastern Canada since joining the Memorial faculty in 1971. Ongoing research includes investigation of the Beothuck population, once indigenous to Newfoundland, as well as historic European remains. She became a consultant in forensic anthropology to the Office of the Chief Pathologist, now medical examiner, of Newfoundland and Labrador in 1987. Since then, she has consulted with the medical examiner and the RCMP in both Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia on homicide investigations as well as analysis of unidentified human remains.

Cheryl Katzmarzyk, M.A.

Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Cheryl Katzmarzyk received her M.A. degree from the University of Florida in 1998 and is currently a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Toronto. Her research interests include human osteology, paleopathology, and skeletal aging. She held a graduate assistantship at the C.A. Pound Human Identification Laboratory and has served as part of the forensic anthropology consulting team in the former Yugoslavia. She is a student member of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists and the American Academy of Forensic Sciences.

Kenneth A.R. Kennedy, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Division of Biological Sciences, Section of Ecology and Systematics
Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Dr. Kenneth A.R. Kennedy is a forensic anthropologist and Professor in the Division of Biological Sciences, Cornell University, and director of the Human Biology Laboratory at that institution. He holds joint faculty appointments in the Department of Anthropology and the Department of Asian Studies. Since receiving his Ph.D. degree from the University of California at Berkeley, Kennedy has followed a research career in South Asia where he investigates the palaeoanthropology of the ancient peoples in that region (India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and the borderlands), as well as in forensic anthropology with investigations of markers of occupational stress on bones and teeth in prehistoric and modern populations. Kennedy is a recipient of the T. Dale Stewart Award from the American Board of Forensic Anthropology and is actively involved in case studies in consultation with medical and legal agencies. Since 1978 Kennedy has been a diplomate of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology and is a fellow and member of the Executive Committee of that section of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences. He is a past vice-president of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists and currently on the editorial board of the *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*.

Linda Klepinger, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Department of Anthropology
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Illinois

Dr. Linda L. Klepinger holds a Ph.D. in anthropology from the University of Kansas. She is currently Professor of Anthropology at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Her research focuses on skeletal biology and chemistry, forensic anthropology and paleopathology. She is a member of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Paleopathology Association, a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, and a diplomate of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology.

Hugo Ludeña Restaure

La Universidad Nacional Federico Villareal
Lima, Perú

Dr. Hugo Ludeña Restaure [note: he does not have a Ph.D. but is addressed as Dr. which is the Latin American custom] is an archaeologist by training, but as director of the Patrimony Division of the Institute of Culture, he developed the team to investigate the Pizarro identification. He personally researched the ethnohistorical sources and published a series of articles that helped convince authorities to place the remains identified in place of those that had occupied Pizarro's crypt for nearly a century. He is currently assisting at the Department of Anthropology at the National University of Federico Villareal in Lima (a department that will have a strong bio-

logical anthropology component). This would be the first department in the country with such a focus.

Christopher Meiklejohn, Ph.D.

Department of Anthropology, The University of Winnipeg
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Dr. Christopher Meiklejohn was trained in Physical Anthropology and Old World Archaeology. He has a B.Sc. (Biology and Geology) from Carleton University and a Phil.M. and Ph.D. (Anthropology) from the University of Toronto. He is currently Professor of Anthropology at the University of Winnipeg where he has taught since 1970. He has conducted osteological research in Europe since the 1960s with major projects in Holland (1976 to 1981), Portugal (1983 to present) and Denmark (1986 to present). Since 1980 he has been forensic consultant to the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Province of Manitoba.

Jerry Melbye, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Forensic Science Program Coordinator
University of Toronto at Mississauga
Mississauga, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Jerry Melbye completed his Ph.D. in Physical Anthropology at the University of Toronto in 1969. He is a Professor of Physical Anthropology and Forensic Science; Associate Chair of Anthropology and director of the Forensic Science Program at the University of Toronto at Mississauga; a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences; chairman of the Anthropology, Medical and Odontology Section of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science; member of the Advisory Board of the Centre of Forensic Sciences (Ontario); past president of the Canadian Association for Physical Anthropology; member of the Review Panel for Investigations in former Yugoslavia for the United Nations; consultant for the Office of the Chief Coroner of Ontario; and Diplomate of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology.

Denis Michaud, B.Sc.

Biology Section, Centre of Forensic Sciences
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Denis Michaud is a Forensic Biology Specialist with the Centre of Forensic Sciences in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. He holds a B.Sc. (1979) in Biology from the University of Montreal. He has also worked as a Forensic Biologist for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police at the Central Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa. Over the course of his 13-year career, he has been involved in many forensic cases where DNA analysis of biological evidence has played a major role. As a result, he has testified in courts across Canada.

Michael S. Pollanen, Ph.D.

Forensic Pathology Unit, Office of the Chief Coroner
Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services, Toronto, Ontario,
Canada

Dr. Michael Pollanen received his B.Sc. in Biology from the University of Guelph (1992), a Ph.D. in Pathology (1995), and an M.D. (1999) from the University of Toronto. He is currently an Adjunct Professor of Forensic Science at the University of Toronto, a consultant for the Office of the Chief Coroner for Ontario, and will begin postgraduate residency training in Anatomic and Forensic Pathology in 1999. Research interests include strangulation, drowning, and the "soft signs" of asphyxia. He recently published *Forensic Diatomology and Drowning* (Elsevier, 1998), a book on the use of diatom analysis for drowning.

Frank P. Saul, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A. and Julie Mather Saul, B.A.

Lucas County Coroner's Office
Toledo, Ohio

Frank P. Saul, Ph.D., diplomate and president, American Board of Forensic Anthropology, is senior consultant in Forensic Anthropology to the Lucas County Coroner's Office and Professor Emeritus, Anatomy and Associate Dean Emeritus of the Medical College of Ohio, Toledo, where he served from 1969-1993. He is also commander of the Region 5 (Michigan, Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin and Minnesota) DMORT (Disaster Mortuary Operations Team) team of the National Disaster Medical System of the U.S. Public Health Service. Julie Mather Saul, B.A., is director of the Forensic Anthropology Laboratory, Lucas County Coroner's Office and a Research Associate in Anatomy at the Medical College of Ohio, Toledo. Both are consultants to the Wayne County Medical Examiner's Office (Detroit, MI), the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (Washington, D.C.), the FBI Evidence Response Team (Cleveland, OH), the North American Search Dog Network and other agencies. They are lead anthropologists for DMORT and served at the ComAir 7232 crash (Monroe, MI) and the KAL 801 crash (Guam). In addition, since 1962 they have been excavating and analyzing the skeletal remains of the ancient Maya of Mexico and Central America, constructing individual and populational biographic profiles and tracing the history and evolution of diseases such as treponema/syphilis. Their memberships include the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, the International Association for Identification, the Forensic Science Society (United Kingdom), American Association of Physical Anthropology and the Society for American Archaeology.

Shelley R. Saunders, Ph.D.

Department of Anthropology, McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Shelley Saunders is a Professor of Anthropology at McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario and a forensic anthropology consultant to the Hamilton-

Wentworth, Niagara, Kitchener-Waterloo, and Halton Regions in the province of Ontario. She received her Ph.D. from the University of Toronto in 1977. Her research interests include the skeletal biology of past human populations and individual identification from the human skeleton. Her work has focussed on growth and development, subadult age estimation, dental development, morphology and pathology, and ancient DNA.

Douglas D. Scott, Ph.D.

Midwest Archeological Center,
National Park Service, Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.

Dr. Scott received his Ph.D. from the University of Colorado and is presently Great Plains Team Leader, Midwest Archeological Center, National Park Service, Lincoln, Nebraska. He has conducted extensive archaeological research on the Battle of the Little Bighorn as well as forensic archaeology fieldwork in Croatia, Rwanda, and El Salvador.

Mark Skinner, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Department of Archaeology, Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

Dr. Skinner was educated at the University of Alberta and the University of Cambridge. He received his doctor of philosophy degree in 1978 from the University of Cambridge in the area of paleoanthropology. In 1976 he joined Simon Fraser University where he teaches courses in physical anthropology with an emphasis on skeletal biology and forensic anthropology. He is currently an associate professor. He introduced the first course in forensic anthropology in Canada. In 1982 he became a diplomate of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology. He has assisted the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and Coroner's Office of British Columbia in the field recovery and analysis of skeletonized human remains for more than 20 years. His Canadian case total is 320 involving 128 forensic cases of which 51 were homicides. Recently he has worked on mass graves in Afghanistan for the United Nations High Commission on Human Rights and as a forensic monitor in Bosnia-Herzegovina for Physicians for Human Rights. His current research is on evaluation of evidence for tampering in mass graves.

Michael W. Spence, Ph.D.

Department of Anthropology
University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Spence received his B.A. and M.A. from the University of Toronto and his Ph.D. from Southern Illinois University and is presently a Professor in the Department of Anthropology at the University of Western Ontario. He has been doing forensic anthropology in the southwestern Ontario region since 1979. In addition to this, his research interests include the archaeology and osteology of eastern Canada and cen-

tral Mexico. Of central interest is his work, from 1964 to the present, in the ancient city of Teotihuacan, Mexico. He is coauthor of *Mortuary Practices and Skeletal Remains at Teotihuacan* (University of Utah Press, 1994) and of "Cranial-Cervical Injuries in Judicial Hangings: An Anthropological Analysis of Six Cases" (*The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*, in press).

Sabine Stratton, M.Sc.

Department of Anthropology
University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Sabine Stratton received two undergraduate degrees and her master of arts from the University of Alberta. The degree in zoology led to an interest in skeletal biology and a degree in physical anthropology established an enthusiasm for the study of human biology. While serving on the medical examiner's human identification team, investigating the 1986 Hinton Via Rail collision, an interest in the study of human individualization crystallized. Research since that time has focused upon human skeletal anomalies ante- and post-mortem x-ray comparison video superimposition and public perceptions toward autopsy. She has worked with the Medical Examiner's Office in Edmonton since 1986 and has participated in the development of the Forensic Archaeology course, jointly sponsored by University of Alberta, Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (Edmonton), and Provincial Museum of Alberta, since its inception that same year. Currently she is completing her Ph.D. investigating the unique aspects of the human sacro-iliac joint (posterior hip joint). She is a member of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists, Canadian Association for Physical Anthropology, Canadian Society of Forensic Science, The Cranio-Facial Identification Group, and Physicians for Human Rights.

Isabelle Trudel, B.Sc.

Biology Section, RCMP Central Forensic Laboratory
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Isabelle Trudell is a Forensic Biology Specialist with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Central Forensic Laboratory in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. She received a B.Sc. (Hons.) in Biochemistry 1985 and a M.Sc. in Immunology and Microbiology in 1988 both from the University of Ottawa. She joined the Winnipeg Forensic Laboratory, Manitoba, Canada in 1990 as a Serology Specialist and is presently working as a Biology Specialist in the field of DNA analysis. She has testified in criminal cases across Canada.

Brett Waddell, B.A., M.A.

Department of Anthropology, University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Brett Waddell (1965-1995) received his B.A. in Archaeology from Simon Fraser University (1989) and his M.A. in Anthropology from University of Manitoba

(1994). His training was in Archaeology, Physical Anthropology and Forensic Osteology. His bachelor's and master's theses were both on Near Eastern skeletal materials. At the time of his death, of complications related to Leukaemia, he was working on a full inventory and description of skeletal materials from Manitoba, with funding from Heritage Grants Council of the Province. Between 1989 and 1995 he wrote or cowrote 17 osteological/forensic reports and ten conference papers.

Heather Walsh-Haney, B.A.

C.A. Pound Human Identification Laboratory
University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida

Heather Walsh-Haney is a graduate student at the University of Florida. Trained in forensic anthropology by William Maples, Ph.D. and Anthony Falsetti, Ph.D., she has worked as a forensic anthropology consultant for the Metro-Dade Office of the Medical Examiner during the ValuJet and Fine Air Cargo air tragedies. Additionally, her professional experience includes actively working as a Laboratory Technician for the C.A. Pound Human Identification Laboratory.

Michael W. Warren, Ph.D.

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Department of Anthropology, University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida

Dr. Michael W. Warren received his Ph.D. (1998) in Anthropology from the University of Florida, where he serves as an adjunct assistant professor. His areas of expertise include forensic identification and trauma analysis, skeletal biology, and human anatomy. Dr. Warren's current research examines populational variation in fetal limb growth and proportionality. He is an affiliate of the William R. Maples Forensic Science Center and a member of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists and the American Academy of Forensic Sciences.

P. Willey, Ph.D., D.A.B.F.A.

Department of Anthropology
Chico State University, California

Dr. Willey received his Ph.D. from the University of Tennessee-Knoxville and has been employed since 1989 at Chico State University, California, where he is professor of anthropology. He is a fellow of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences and a diplomate and current vice-president of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology.

Robert Wood, D.D.S., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Forensic Odontology Consultant, Office of the Chief Coroner
Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services, Toronto, Ontario,
Canada

Dr. Robert Wood completed his D.D.S. and M.Sc. at the University of Toronto. He subsequently obtained his Ph.D. and Specialty certification. He is a staff dentist at the Princess Margaret Hospital and a forensic odontology consultant to the Office of the Chief Coroner of Ontario and numerous police forces. Dr. Wood is an assistant professor at the University of Toronto.

Dongya Yang, Ph.D.

Department of Anthropology
McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Dongya Yang obtained his Ph.D. degree in anthropology from McMaster University in 1998. His main research interests include ancient DNA studies, molecular forensic anthropology, DNA diagnosis of genetic and infectious diseases from ancient remains, molecular archaeology, and new techniques for ancient DNA extraction and PCR amplification.

PREFACE

Most books are born out of the perceived necessity by their authors. This book is no different from any other in that respect. As an instructor in forensic osteology it has been clear for the past several years that a new book of case studies was needed. Further, a book of case studies with background information on how osteological analysis is applied to human/faunal remains found in legal contexts would be particularly timely.

This book is primarily designed for students of forensic anthropology/osteology who have a background in human anatomy and/or osteology. Even with this assumption, I recognize the fact that other interested individuals lacking this background may very well want to read this book for its many case studies. As I tell my students, if you do come across a term that is unfamiliar, I would encourage the use of a medical dictionary.

Every few years it is important to put forward cases for the review of our colleagues and students. Although many journals, such as the *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, regularly publishes case reports, there are instances when a more detailed and full description of circumstances are warranted by the author. Further, beginning students initially require a more thorough treatment of the thinking behind the application of analytical techniques; an important aspect that may not be covered in the professional literature.

Based on the foregoing, the premise of this book is that each case has a unique quality and thus presents unique problems for the analyst to approach. It is important for the reader to understand the limits of forensic osteology by examining not only its successes but also its failures. This book presents this type of information "warts and all."

All of the contributions to this book (including my own) have been subjected to peer review. In each case two reviewers were assigned to each paper. This process greatly enhanced the value of each paper and made my job as editor much easier. To all of those individuals who acted as reviewers, I am truly grateful for your participation in this project. It is also without question that this effort would not have been possible without the contributors who also shared my vision. I am indebted to each of them for their diligence and patience; and from a purely selfish point of view, I have benefited from my interaction with each and every one. I am also thankful for the

prompt, helpful, and courteous responses to all my questions by the publisher of this volume, Mr. Michael Payne Thomas. His encouragement made this project always a worthy endeavour. A final thank you to my laboratory manager, Ms. Tracy S. Oost (Hons.B.A., Hons.B.Sc.); her assistance in the lab, and with various other duties, sufficiently freed my time in order to work on this project.

S.I.F.

CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Preface</i>	xix
<i>Chapter</i>	
1. Forensic Osteological Analysis: An Introduction <i>Scott I. Fairgrieve</i>	3
2. Of Beasts and Humans: A Case of Recognition <i>Scott I. Fairgrieve</i>	10
3. Identification of Human Skeletal Remains: Was He a She or She a He? <i>Heather Walsh-Haney, Cheryl Katzmarzyk, and Anthony B. Falsetti</i>	17
4. Sex Determination: XX or XY from the Human Skeleton <i>Shelley R. Saunders and Dongya Yang</i>	36
5. Identification of a Missing Person Using Biomechanical and DNA Analysis: A Case Study <i>Christopher Meiklejohn, Brett Waddell, Isabelle Trudel, Denis Michaud, and Philip Hodge</i>	60
6. Dish, Rats, and a Rolex® <i>Michael A. Warren and Anthony B. Falsetti</i>	79
7. Death on the Danforth <i>Jerry Melbye, David Chiasson, Robert Wood, and Barry Blenkinsop</i>	89
8. The Identification of the Remains of Don Francisco Pizarro <i>Robert A. Benfer and Hugo Ludert Restaure</i>	107

9. Clinkers on the Little Bighorn Battlefield: In Situ Investigation of Scattered Recent Cremains 129
P. Willey and Douglas D. Scott
10. The Wrong Urn: Commingling of Cremains in Mortuary Practices 141
Kenneth A. R. Kennedy
11. Cremated Remains and Expert Testimony in a Homicide Case 151
Mark Skinner
12. An Anthropological Investigation of a Rural Homicide Scene 173
Michael W. Spence
13. Forensic Osteology of Strangulation 183
Michael S. Pollanen
14. Pathological Changes on Human Skeletal Remains: Before, During or After? 199
Katherine L. Gruspier
15. Unusual Skeletal Anomalies and Pathologies in Forensic Casework 226
Linda L. Klepinger
16. Biker's Bones: An Avocational Syndrome 237
Julie Mather Saul and Frank P. Saul
17. Identity Crisis: Two Case Studies; Success and Failure in Personal Identification Determination 251
Sonja M. Jerkic
18. Mass Disasters: Comments and Discussion Regarding the Hinton Train Collision of 1986 267
Sabine U. Stratton and Owen B. Beattie
19. The Role of Forensic Anthropology in Human Rights Issues 287
Roxana Ferllini

<i>Contents</i>	xxiii
20. Forensic Entomology: The Use of Insects in Death Investigations <i>Gail S. Anderson</i>	303
<i>Author Index</i>	327
<i>Subject Index</i>	334

FORENSIC OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Chapter 1

FORENSIC OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS: AN INTRODUCTION

Scott I. Fairgrieve

INTRODUCTION

In 1984, Ted Rathbun and Jane Buikstra edited a book devoted to the presentation of case studies in forensic anthropology. The premise of their book is that we can learn a great deal by reading the accounts of various types of cases in forensic anthropology. This premise is just as true today as it was then. To that end, instructors of undergraduate and graduate level forensic anthropology courses have made the Rathbun and Buikstra book of case studies part of a standard reading list. As an instructor of just such a course, I too assigned its many instructive cases to my students. However, the criticism that would invariably come back to me was that the book suffered from not being current. This criticism is clearly a recognition of the fact that issues and techniques in forensic anthropology have undergone some development since 1984.

In the intervening fourteen years since the publication of *Human Identification*, there have indeed been many developments in forensic anthropology. For example, consider that in 1984 the use of DNA profiling was in its infancy; the regular application of this new technology to forensic contexts did not come about until the end of that decade. As a result, forensic anthropologists must work more closely with forensic biologists, particularly in the area of DNA biochemistry, as we both are interested, in many instances, in the identification of human remains. Although previous case studies are clearly very instructive, it is important to examine more current case studies in light of these new methods.

Recently, there has been the publication of volumes concerning new research in the area of forensic anthropology (e.g., Haglund and Sorg, 1997; Reichs, 1998a). These excellent volumes present current research in forensic

anthropology and, in some instances, provide examples of the research as drawn from various cases. However, they do not necessarily take the reader through all aspects of an investigation and the thought process of approaching a particular case.

In my original solicitation for contributions to this volume, I requested that the interested authors provide me with a case from start to finish. This is important, as I wanted my students, and of course other readers, to benefit from seeing how a case was approached, often in a novel way. It is true that each case has its own unique circumstances that may have a direct bearing on how it is to be approached.

CHAPTER DESCRIPTIONS

Although the case studies in this book can easily stand on their own, I have arranged these chapters by their prevailing theme. In the case where chapters deal with related topics I have grouped these together accordingly.

The book starts off with a case study of my own that deals with one of the most common questions asked of forensic anthropologists: "Are these remains human?" This simple question can in some instances be quite challenging to answer. It is clear that all practicing forensic anthropologists should have training in faunal osteology. In talking with my colleagues, it is clear that the bulk of requests for analysis that come to them from police services or coroner/medical examiners are in fact of non-human in origin. Reichs (1998b) found that for 1995, Diplomates of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology had 17.86% of their non-forensic cases as being of non-human origin; the remaining cases consisted of prehistoric and historic human remains (75.15% and 6.98%, respectively). Although this number may appear to be small, it will likely be quite variable from region to region. This, however, does not preclude the fact that faunal remains may indeed be of forensic interest. More and more, my own laboratory is being requested by representatives from the Ministry of Natural Resources in Northeastern Ontario to examine faunal bone. Poaching is a serious problem in this region and may begin to form a large portion of our casework. Given that this trend to consult on faunal remains in a forensic setting is likely to increase, the label of "forensic anthropology" may no longer be accurate. I would suggest that "forensic osteology" is more appropriate. Thus, this volume is entitled, *Forensic Osteological Analysis: A Book of Case Studies*.

Once the remains have been determined to be of human origin, among the tasks at hand is to identify the remains. Sex determination of the remains is an important step in this process. The more traditional method of sex

determination utilizes the morphology, or form, of the bones. Walsh-Haney et al. review the various features that help to distinguish female from male skeletal remains. The two cases they present clearly illustrate that although associated objects from the scene may strongly support one conclusion, the remains should still be examined for a biologically based assessment. This conclusion is supported by Saunders and Yang's chapter which details the use of morphology and DNA analysis to determine the sex of the individual. Their cautionary tale makes the point that although there have been many advances in DNA analysis, a morphological analysis by a forensic anthropologist is *still necessary*. In order to justify replacing the forensic anthropologist with DNA analysis there would have to be proof that the DNA analysis would never yield a false negative or false positive result. Meiklejohn et al. also present a case of an isolated femur for identification. However, in this case the morphological profile included both biomechanical and DNA analyses. The DNA analysis was performed in order to ascertain the relationship of the femur to a presumed mother and sister. The results, although supporting that supposition, were not conclusive on all accounts. Therefore, the use of a morphological analysis was warranted.

Forensic anthropologists are, in the first instance, largely preoccupied with making a positive identification of remains. Many members of the general public are convinced that this is usually an easy process as one can generally use dental records. However, many fail to recognize that if you do not suspect a particular identity of the remains then the initial search for dental records may be problematic. At the very least, the search for such records must be narrowed. Additionally, dental records may not be available, either because they have been lost/destroyed or because the decedent never sought dental treatment.

Warren and Falsetti present a case in which physical evidence found in association with skeletal remains helped to corroborate the morphological assessment. Photographic superimposition also played an important role in the identification process. Their chapter is instructive because it reminds us not to ignore associated physical evidence even though we are primarily responsible for the morphological analysis. The context of the remains and corroborative information may be key in the identification process. In the case presented by Melbye et al., the context and associated evidence indicated that the decedent met her demise over forty years ago. This span of time would be at the limits of what would be considered as "recent forensic interest" to the police. A computer-generated facial reproduction was created from the skull in the hopes that it would help in the identification process. This theme is further reinforced in the chapter that follows by Benfer and Ludeña "recent forensic interest" to the police. A computer generated facial reproduction of historically significant remains, specifically those of Don

Francisco Pizarro, the Spanish conqueror of Peru. Their study of morphological evidence, historical accounts and even the use of facial reproduction were enough to convince authorities that the newly discovered remains are Pizarro's and should replace those traditionally represented as those of the conqueror.

The processing of remains in an historic context is not as uncommon as one may think. Although the above case with Pizarro was a matter for civil authorities, historical contexts can still have a legal context. The spreading of contemporary cremated remains on the Little Bighorn Battlefield is tightly regulated by U.S. federal regulations. Willey and Scott detail how they applied forensic anthropological techniques to resolve questions concerning which remains rightfully belong at this historic cemetery site.

Commercial cremations, as with any other business, can be subject to legal liabilities. Kennedy outlines the fascinating problem of who is actually contained within a cremation urn. This civil case revolved around a family that had reason to doubt that a cremation urn contained the remains (or rather, cremains) of their infant daughter. This case demonstrates yet another way that forensic anthropology can serve, not only in criminal cases, but also in the sphere of civil litigation.

Most forensic anthropologists will agree that there is nothing routine in providing expert testimony in a courtroom. Skinner provides a detailed account of a cremation case from the perspective of not only performing the analysis but also providing the expert testimony at trial. Skinner is quite frank regarding the difficulties within this case and supplies us with a unique account of his own testimony and the inherent strengths and weaknesses of his evidence.

As with cremation cases, the scattering of skeletal remains can present special problems for accurate recovery. Spence's chapter details the forensic archaeological recovery of remains from a rural homicide scene. The recovery process in this case played an important role in reaching the conclusion that the body had indeed been deliberately concealed. This chapter raises the important point that a forensic anthropologist should not work in total isolation. The team approach to the processing of scenes is essential for maximizing the information available from recovered evidence.

As part of the team approach, forensic pathologists play an integral role in the interpretation of any pathological indicators on recovered remains. Pollanen's original study and case report on the interpretation of trauma to the hyoid bone is an excellent example of why forensic anthropologists should be working in close collaboration with pathologists. In his chapter, Pollanen broaches the question of whether or not strangulation is a reasonable conclusion based on the bony evidence. He does this by not only setting the context of the trauma to the hyoid bone itself, but also through the