

**CURRENT ISSUES IN
AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT**


CURRENT ISSUES IN AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT

Controversies and Solutions

By

HARRY W. MORE, PH.D.

*Professor Emeritus
Department of Justice Studies
San Jose State University*



CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.
Springfield • Illinois • U.S.A.

Published and Distributed Throughout the World by

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.
2600 South First Street
Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of
it may be reproduced in any manner without written
permission from the publisher. All rights reserved

© 2008 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 978-0-398-07823-2 (hard)
ISBN 978-0-398-07824-9 (paper)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2008018760

*With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing
and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their
physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use.
THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name
and good will.*

*Printed in the United States of America
SM-R-3*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

More, Harry W.

Current issues in American law enforcement : controversies and solutions /
by Harry W. More.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-398-07823-2 (hard) -- ISBN 978-0-398-07824-9 (pbk.)

1. Police--United States. 2. Law enforcement--United States. I. Title.

HV8141.M647 2008

363.20973--dc22

2008018760

PREFACE

One thing that is apparent in American law enforcement is that change is endemic and with each change it impacts not only the police agency but the communities they serve. Additionally, change issues can come to light that were previously unknown or perceived as not being of much consequence. Sometimes these issues remain static or become short-lived and in other instances create a great deal of controversy. In the latter instance, a controversy can become embroiled in politics and activism becomes an integral part of the total process. An example of this would be community policing that evolved slowly because of opposition and in many instances has taken some police departments by storm while other departments extol it but do not practice the basic tenets of the process. Also, the public review of police conduct at times seems at odds with the more time-honored program of internal review. Court intervention into the policing process has made dramatic changes in some police departments, but it remains to be seen if the courts and the U.S. Department of Justice will continue to intervene into local policing matters.

The police use of force remains a perennial issue and a great deal of soul-searching has occurred as researchers have strived to develop alternatives to the use of deadly force. The Taser device has shown some promise, but even it is embroiled in controversy. In addition, the murder of police officers, while abating, remains too high and one can only wonder why patrol officers are not mandated to wear protective vests. The inevitability of police murders is not acceptable. Police injuries have escalated and more must be done to reduce this type of occurrence. Police misconduct is another issue that seems to have been with us since the beginning of organized law enforcement. Somehow the police have to become more of a part of the community.

Hate crimes have become an issue more recently, but it is not clear that they should warrant a special legal consideration, but as a consequence of significant political support a new crime has been born. The same is true of profiling, an unknown and inconsequential awareness that has become an

issue of major proportions within the last few years. Furthermore, vehicle pursuit is in the forefront of the minds of many innocent persons who have died because of inadequate police policies and there is a continuing movement toward improving technology in this vital area. Finally, women in policing is making inroads as more females enter law enforcement and are just beginning to ascend to positions of higher power. Whether these issues will remain as key considerations in the future is unknown at this time. It is for the public, politicians, and the police to address these critical issues, hopefully in a concerted effort.

H.W.M.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Chapter</i>	
1. POLICING A FREE SOCIETY: WHAT ROLE SHOULD THE POLICE PLAY?	3
Political Epoch	4
Principles	6
Professional Epoch	7
Community Policing Epoch	8
Police Objectives	8
Police Role	10
Varying Police Responsibilities and Duties	13
Values in Policing	18
Baltimore Police Department	23
Alexandria Police Department	23
Rocklin Police Department	24
Reno Police Department	25
CompStat	27
Accurate and Timely Intelligence	28
Effective Tactics	29
References	31
2. COMMUNITY POLICING: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?	34
Legalistic Policing	34
Team Policing	36
Community Relations	36
Transitional Problems	39

Community-Oriented Policing and Problem-Solving (COPPS)40
Leadership and Management41
Partnership with External Components43
Forces Impeding Community Policing47
The Problem-Solving Process48
Scanning50
Analysis51
Response53
Assessment54
Benefits to the Community55
Benefits to the Police56
References58
3. PUBLIC AND COURT REVIEW OF POLICE	
CONDUCT: IS IT A HELP OR A HINDRANCE?62
Impetus for Change62
Grievous Misconduct64
The Quest for Civilian Control65
Types of Citizen Review69
Considerations When Creating an Oversight Agency70
Credibility of Oversight72
Composition of the Board73
Training74
Struggle for Power76
The Evolutionary Process77
Examples of Oversight78
Consent Decrees, Memorandums of Agreement and Technical Assistance Letters81
Consent Decrees (CD)82
Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)84
Technical Assistance Letter (TAL)85
References86
4. INTERNAL REVIEW OF THE POLICE: IS IT AN ACCEPTABLE PROCESS?89
Antecedents89
Creation of Internal Affairs Units91
Investigating Complaints92
Anonymous Complaints95

Internal Review of Complaints96
Processing Complaints98
Complaint Investigation98
Employee Rights During an Interview101
Adjudication102
Due Process103
The Trial Board105
Discipline107
Public Safety Officer’s Procedural Bill of Rights108
References112

5. **POLICE USE OF FORCE: IS IT AN INEVITABLE COMPONENT OF ENFORCING THE LAW?**114
Civil Disturbances115
Police Use of Deadly Force116
 Data from the Public Health Service117
 Additional Research118
 Placing Police Shootings in Perspective121
 A Violent Society122
 The Criminal122
 Use of Force During a Police Contact124
 Arrest-Related Deaths124
 Deadly Force – A Constitutional Standard126
 Alternatives to the Use of Deadly Force129
 Taser129
 Oleoresin Capsicum (OC)132
 Recent Research132
References134

6. **HATE CRIMES: DO THEY REALLY WARRANT SPECIAL LEGAL CONSIDERATION?**136
Significant Incidents Leading to the Passage of Legislation137
The Law of Hate Crime139
 Definitions141
Hate Crime Data142
 Characteristics of Hate Crimes144
 Hate Crime Offenders147
 Church Arsons148
 Hate Groups153
Investigation of Hate Crimes154

Key Indicators of a Hate Crime	155
Classifying Offenders	155
Interviewing the Victim(s)	156
Hate Crimes on the Internet	158
References	160
7. MURDER AND INJURY OF POLICE OFFICERS: INEVITABLE OR CAN THE FREQUENCY BE REDUCED?	162
Killed in the Line of Duty	163
Circumstances When Officers Are Killed	165
Types of Assignment	167
Weapons	168
Body Armor	169
Place of Occurrence	169
Profiles of Assailants	170
Accidents Resulting in the Death of Officers	170
Assaulted and Injured in the Line of Duty	171
Circumstances Surrounding the Assault Incident	174
Officers Who were Victims of Assault	175
Behavioral Descriptors	177
Offenders Who Assaulted Officers	179
Criminal History	179
Family History of Offenders	180
Weapons Used by Offenders	181
Psychological Evaluation of Offenders	182
Ignoring Procedures	185
Absence of Procedures	186
Conflicting Procedures	186
Training	186
References	187
8. PROFILING: A NECESSITY OR AN ENIGMA?	188
Antecedent	189
Interim Report	190
Consent Decree	192
More Recent Incidents Involving Racial Profiling	193
Definition	195
Characteristics of Drivers Stopped by the Police	200
Data Collection and Analysis	201

Limitation of Data Collection	203
Benefits of Data Collection	204
References	207
9. POLICE CONDUCT: CAN IT BE CONTROLLED?	209
Historical Efforts	210
Discretionary Justice and Police Conduct	211
Bureaucratic Meddling or Needed Reform	213
Attitude, Attitude, Attitude	214
Malfeasance, Misfeasance and Non-feasance	217
Contacts Between Police and the Public	219
Controlling Abuses of Authority	222
Opinion Disparity between Black Officers and Officers of Other Races	222
Perceptions of Police Performance in One Community	224
Building Trust	225
Race and Satisfaction	226
Enhancing a Positive Public Image	227
References	228
10. WOMEN IN LAW ENFORCEMENT: IS IT POSSIBLE TO BREACH THE MALE-DOMINATED POLICE CULTURE AND THE GLASS CEILING?	231
Early Entry of Women into Police Work	233
The Growth Period	238
Transition	241
Adjusting to a Male-Dominated Police Culture	243
Advantages for Law Enforcement Agencies that Hire Women	249
Sexual Harassment	250
Definition	251
Quid Pro Quo Harassment	253
Hostile Work Environment	253
References	256
11. VEHICLE PURSUIT: SHOULD WE PURSUE OR NOT?	259
Definition	260
Policy Implications	264
Dangers of Police Pursuit	266
To Chase or Not to Chase	267

Initiation of a Pursuit268
Role of the Supervisor270
Use of Helicopters in Pursuit Operations271
Legal Issues274
Technology277
Current Use of Technology280
References283
<i>Index</i>285

**CURRENT ISSUES IN
AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT**

Chapter 1

POLICING A FREE SOCIETY: WHAT ROLE SHOULD THE POLICE PLAY?

The effort in the police field to markedly discern and delimit the activities in which the police should engage has been wrestled with for more than a century. It has not been an easy task and efforts to deal with identifying the role of the police has been fraught with difficulty and has proven to be an elusive task. An English peer, Robert Peel and his staff pursued one of the earlier efforts when they proclaimed *nine standards* of law enforcement. These principles are as relevant today as they were in 1822, and most importantly they reflect an outstanding and probably the first example of defining the relationship between the police and the public (Davis, 1977:29–34, Patterson, 1995:5–10; Harrison, 1996:307).

With the passage of An Act for Improving the Police in and near the Metropolis, a mechanism came into existence that provided for the maintenance of law and order. This newly-created police department had to deal with a constantly increasing crime rate. At the time of its implementation, the Peelian concept was clearly utopian and idealistic. It served as a bridge between either an antiquated police department, or in some instances non-existing police services. It turned out to be a renaissance of law, order, and justice (Radzinowicz, 1956:572). One important contribution that **Robert Peel** made was to emphasize crime prevention rather than detection, but this concept never became a consequential task of the earliest American police system. The majority of these early principles represented some degree of cooperative effort between the police and the public and set the tone for subsequent efforts to professionalize law enforcement.

In the evolving American society during the early part of the last century, numerous social indicators such as the beginning of urbanization, an unacceptable amount of crime, industrialization, and immigration led to the creation of formal police departments at the local level. While this country

looked to the London Metropolitan Police Department as a model, the creators in our Nation were fearful of a strong central government. Consequently, police powers were delegated to the state and local levels of government and a number of years passed before the creation of federal law enforcement agencies.

POLITICAL EPOCH

Unfortunately, politics immediately dominated American policing and departments received their operational legitimacy and budgetary resources from politicians and local political organizations. Chiefs of police as well as police officers were at the mercy of the political process and were replaced when political parties changed. In some instances this was a clean sweep and friends and supporters were appointed and supporters of the opposing political party were sent packing. In some cities 25 percent of the employees were police officers so it was fertile ground for patronage. In return, the police supported incumbent politicians and did every thing they could to keep the appointing power in office (Leonard and More, 2000:16–17).

A positive side of the political era was that even though police departments performed the time-honored functions of crime control and order maintenance, they also ran soup kitchens, found lodging for the needy, collected taxes and performed a census. At the same time it should be pointed out that these altruistic activities served to support party activities and reinforce the politicians in power (More et al., 2006:18–19). For many years politicians and reforms were at each others' throats on who would control the police. *Reform* did not happen overnight; it failed, was resurrected numerous times, and finally prevailed.

Reform crept in and eventually overwhelmed the negative aspects of the political process and the patronage system. As reform gained strength politics was no longer in the driver's seat. Police executive positions were moved under civil service and in a few cities the chief of police was given a lifetime position and only removed for cause. The intent was to strip law enforcement from all political influence since it was viewed as an albatross. The result was that law enforcement agencies moved into a restrictive law enforcement mode emphasizing crime control as not only the primary, but the only role. During the reform era that extended for a number of decades as unique problems occurred outside of crime control, police bureaucracies reacted by creating special units that over time weakened the patrol function, but gave police administrators' greater control (More et al., 2006:20).

Now it is essential to look at another phase of the developmental process

of reform. In the early part of the last century, **Leonhard F. Fuld** pointed out that there was a need to identify the true purpose of policing (Fuld, 1909:123). Highly visible and functioning 24 hours a day, the police assumed numerous duties outside the realm of law enforcement as politicians sought for ways to deal with community problems. The police became the dumping ground of municipal services that ranged the gambit from running soup kitchens to chauffeuring the mayor and including such duties as animal control and traffic regulation. This was followed by a study of the American police in 1915 by **Elmer D. Graper** that reinforced Fuld's position. He confirmed that the police had assumed too many extraneous activities that had a limited relationship to the enforcement of the law. Graper found that police departments performed a wide scope of duties including ambulance service, censorship and tax collection (Graper, 1921:29-42).

Can you imagine the problems the police would have today if they performed the functions of the Internal Revenue Service? In the early part of the twentieth century police agencies paid little heed to the nine principles of policing elicited by our English counterparts. Slowly but surely the police adopted a posture that moved them further and further away from the public as they enforced the law from a legalistic posture rather than a service orientation. It makes one wonder if our nation had followed the earlier precepts whether we would have had an entirely different type of police system and possibly a more significant reduction in crime.

Over time the reform era that ushered in an emphasis on crime control failed to meet the needs of citizens and the community at large. Extensive managerial control proved to be inadequate and eventually there was a call for reform of the reform. It took considerable time and ultimately community policing came upon the horizon. Prior to discussing this new era it is essential to return to a discussion of principles.

Figure 1-1

Principles of Law Enforcement

- Prevention of crime is the basic mission of the police.
- Police must have the full respect of the citizenry.
- A citizen's respect for law develops respect for the police.
- Cooperation of the public decreases as the use of force increases.
- Police must render impartial enforcement of the law.
- Physical force is used only as a last resort.
- The police are the public and the public are the police.
- Police represent the law.
- The absence of crime and disorder is the test of police efficiency.

Source: Edward M. Davis (1977). "Professional Police Principles," *Federal Probation*. XXV(1), March, 29-34.

PRINCIPLES

The principles listed above in Figure 1-1 are worthy of discussion and interpretation. From the time they were promulgated they have been implemented to varying degrees and in other instances ignored. Even when listed as law enforcement tenets they soon became something to talk about and alluded to, but soon forgotten at the operational level. For example, the principle *prevention of crime is the basic mission of the police* was completely forsaken by many police departments as they evolved and it can be said to be the initial effort to state a mission for a law enforcement agency. One can only wonder what might have happened if law enforcement had taken the mandate at heart and worked diligently to implement this far-reaching concept. Observably, stating something is different than actual implementation. Even in the middle of the last century prevention was listed by four leading experts in the field, but implementation was limited for the most part to working with juveniles. In fact, this process evolved slowly and it was some time before a number of departments hired social workers to deal with delinquency. This was looked upon as not really police work therefore someone else could handle delinquency and serve as specialists working with youths. *Crime prevention* was viewed by some as just extraneous duty that detracted from the real task: fighting crime.

When analyzing other principles it is difficult to imagine a city where a police department has the full respect of the citizenry and that as the citizens gain a respect for the law they develop respect for the police who represent the law. Even today there are some agencies that do not accept the principle that *cooperation of the public decreases as the use of force increases*. When this is coupled with the principle *physical force is used only as a last resort* it seems to be totally disconnected with what happens periodically in our major cities where alleged police brutality occurs. Right or wrong, the action of a few officers in any one city can reflect negatively on almost every police department in our nation. Today, police brutality is a major issue and this issue is discussed in detail in another chapter. This leaves us with two other principles. The initial one, *the police are the public and the public are the police* is idealistic. Not really, even though it might be an optimal position. The next principle is *the absence of crime and disorder is the test of police efficiency*, and this falls into a realm of a highly desirable goal even though it might be unattainable. There is nothing wrong with having a goal that is not achievable, so why not strive for the impossible as a continuing process to improve police services. The last part of this principle was, in all probability, the initial reference to measuring the efforts of a police department, and brings up the concept of accountability that was not really a consideration until several decades ago.

Even today, some police departments emphasize controlling and sup-