

# **MANAGING CRISES**

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**URIEL ROSENTHAL** is professor of government and chairman of the Crisis Research Center at Leiden University, the Netherlands. He is co-editor of the *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management* (Blackwell Publishers). Apart from crisis research, his main fields of interest are political decision making, bureaucratic politics, and criminal justice. Crisis-related publications include *Coping with Crises* (Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas, 1989); *Crisis Management and Decision Making: Simulation-Oriented Scenarios* (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991); *Complexity in Urban Crisis Management: Amsterdam's Response to the Bijlmer Air Disaster* (London: James and James, 1994); *Flood Response and Crisis Management in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis* (Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998). Uriel Rosenthal is a member of the Dutch Transport Safety Board and holds a seat in the Dutch Senate.

**ARJEN BOIN** is an assistant professor at Leiden University, Department of Public Administration. He is a member of the Leiden University Crisis Research Center and assistant editor of the *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*. His research interests include crisis management, prison management, institutional design, and leadership. Arjen Boin is a founding member of the European Crisis Management Academy. His most recent book is *Crafting Public Institutions* (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2001).

**LOUISE K. COMFORT** is a professor of public and international affairs at the University of Pittsburgh. She has done field research on organizational response and information processes in disaster operations following earthquakes in Mexico City, 1985; San Salvador, 1986; Ecuador, 1987; Whittier Narrows, California, 1987; Armenia, 1988; Loma Prieta, 1989; Costa Rica, 1991; Erzincan, Turkey, 1992; Killari, Maharashtra State, India, 1993; Northridge, California; Hanshin, Japan, 1995; Izmit, Turkey, 1999; ChiChi, Taiwan, 1999; and Gujarat, India, 001. She holds degrees in political science from Macalester College (B.A.); University of California, Berkeley (M.A.), and Yale University (Ph.D.). Her most recent book is *Shared Risk: Complex Systems in Seismic Response* (Oxford and New York: Pergamon Press, 1999).

# MANAGING CRISES

Threats, Dilemmas, Opportunities

*Edited by*

**URIEL ROSENTHAL**

*Department of Public Administration  
Leiden University*

**R. ARJEN BOIN**

*Department of Public Administration  
Leiden University*

**LOUISE K. COMFORT**

*Graduate School of Public and International Affairs  
University of Pittsburgh*



**Charles C Thomas**

PUBLISHER • LTD.

SPRINGFIELD • ILLINOIS • U.S.A.

*Published and Distributed Throughout the World by*

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.  
2600 South First Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of  
it may be reproduced in any manner without  
written permission from the publisher.

© 2001 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 0-398-07223-X (hard)  
ISBN 0-398-07224-8 (paper)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2001027978

*With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing  
and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their  
physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use.  
THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name  
and good will.*

Printed in the United States of America  
*MM-R-3*

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Managing crises : threats, dilemmas, opportunities / edited by Uriel Rosenthal, Arjen  
Boin, Louise K. Comfort.

p.cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-398-07223-X -- ISBN 9-398-07224-8 (pbk.)

I. Crisis management. I. Rosenthal, Uriel, 1945-II. Boin, Arjen. III. Comfort,  
Louise K. (Kouise Kloos), 1935-

HD49 .M36 2001  
658.4'06--dc21

2001027978

## CONTRIBUTORS

### **MICHAEL L. ALKAN**

*Professor  
Department of Epidemiology  
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
Beer-Sheva, Israel*

### **ARJEN BOIN**

*Assistant Professor  
Department of Public Administration  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands*

### **CELESTA J. BOS**

*Senior Consultant Crisis Plan  
The Netherlands*

### **MICHAEL T. CHARLES**

*Professor  
Police Training Institute  
University of Illinois at Urbana-  
Champaign  
Urbana, Illinois*

### **LOUISE K. COMFORT**

*Professor  
Graduate School of Public and  
International Affairs  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania*

### **YEHEZKEL DROR**

*Professor of Policy Analysis, Emeritus  
Hebrew University  
Jerusalem, Israel*

### **MENNO J. VAN DUIN**

*Associate Professor and Senior Consultant  
Department of Public Administration  
Crisis Research Center  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands*

### **CYRILLE FIJNAUT**

*Professor of Comparative Law  
Faculty of Law  
Tilburg University  
The Netherlands*

### **RHONA FLIN**

*Professor  
Department of Psychology  
University of Aberdeen  
Scotland*

### **JESPER GRÖNVALL**

*Research Associate  
Stockholm University  
The Swedish Institute of International  
Affairs  
Stockholm, Sweden*

### **DAN HANSÉN**

*Research Associate  
National Defence College  
Stockholm, Sweden*

### **PAUL 'T HART**

*Professor  
Department of Public Administration  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands*

**JAN WILLEM HONIG**

*Senior Lecturer  
Department of War Studies  
King's College London  
England*

**HELEN INGRAM**

*Professor  
Warmington Endowed Chair  
School of Social Ecology  
University of California at Irvine  
Irvine, California*

**BRIAN D. JACOBS**

*Professor of Public Policy  
School of Health  
Staffordshire University  
Stafford, England*

**TERRY JEGGLE**

*Senior Advisor  
Secretariat for the UN International  
Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR)  
Geneva, Switzerland*

**PATRICK LAGADEC**

*Professor  
Ecole Polytechnique  
Paris, France*

**ABRAHAM H. MILLER**

*Professor  
Department of Political Science  
University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati, Ohio*

**AKIRA NAKAMURA**

*Professor  
Department of Political Science  
Meiji University  
Tokyo, Japan*

**BORIS PORFIRIEV**

*Professor  
Institute for Systems Analysis  
Russian Academy of Sciences  
Moscow, Russia*

**ENRICO L. (HENRY) QUARANTELLI**

*Research Professor  
Disaster Research Center  
University of Delaware  
Newark, Delaware*

**FILIP REYNTJENS**

*Professor of Law and Politics  
Institute of Development Policy and  
Management  
University of Antwerp  
Belgium*

**JOS RIJPMAN**

*Senior Consultant  
Crisis Research Center  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands*

**GENE I. ROCHLIN**

*Professor  
Energy and Resources Group  
University of California at Berkeley  
Berkeley, California*

**URIEL ROSENTHAL**

*Professor  
Department of Public Administration  
Leiden University  
The Netherlands*

**ERIC K. STERN**

*Assistant Professor and Research Associate  
Stockholm University  
The Swedish Institute of International  
Affairs  
Stockholm, Sweden*

**YESIM SUNGU**

*Ph.D. student  
Graduate School of Public and  
International Affairs  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania*

## PREFACE

This book has been in the works since 1989. In that year, *Coping with Crises* was published.<sup>1</sup> The book contained a number of case studies ranging from terrorist acts to disasters, which had occurred in the 1970s and 1980s. The 1990s witnessed many unforeseen and sometimes inconceivable crises, prompting new questions and generating widening interest in crisis research. By 1997, a revision of the original book would not do; a new book was in order.

The title of this book reflects a shift in our understanding—from *Coping With* to *Managing Crises*. This new title reflects our belief that crises are not the outcome of fate alone. In the theoretical chapters that pave the way towards the case studies, we consider the progress made in our understanding of crises and crisis management since the predecessor of this book appeared in print in 1989. In *Coping*, the editors felt compelled to explain why a generic term such as “crisis” would improve our understanding of riots, disasters, terrorism, and highly undesirable events. Summarizing the research findings of the past decade in *Managing Crises*, the relevance of the crisis concept is now almost self-evident. We describe patterns in the paths towards crises, in the dilemmas and coping mechanisms that emerge during the thick of crisis, and in the pathways that lead away from crisis. To the extent that understanding is the basis for improvement, we claim that a sense of optimism may be justified.

But lest we get ahead of ourselves, current developments shaping our future serve as a warning against overoptimism. What we learn about the development and management of past crises may have limited value for improving the management of tomorrow’s crises. The dynamics of future crises will pose new dilemmas for crisis managers. In this book, we have therefore made an effort to relate the findings presented by the authors to the trends and developments shaping our future.

In our efforts to understand the development and management of crises, we have received much help from others. We wish to thank the authors who shared their insights with us (often in more than one draft). The Dutch Science Foundation generously funded a forum held in The Hague (1999) in the context of the “Future of European Crisis Management” conference. Celesta Bos skillfully assisted us in getting this project underway. Martijn Groenleer used infinite patience and great editing skills to bring the project to an end. Michael Thomas waited for years but reconfirmed his commitment over and over again.

THE EDITORS

---

1. Rosenthal, U., Charles, M.T. & 't Hart, P. (Eds). *Coping with Crises: The Management of Disasters, Riots and Terrorism*. Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas Publisher.

# CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i> .....	vii
<b>Part One: Introduction</b>	
<i>Chapter</i>	
1. The Changing World of Crises and Crisis Management .....	5
<i>Uriel Rosenthal, Arjen Boin and Louise K. Comfort</i>	
2. Between Crisis and Normalcy: The Long Shadow of Post-crisis Politics .....	28
<i>Paul 't Hart and Arjen Boin</i>	
<b>Part Two: How Crises Develop and Escalate: First and Second Order Dimensions</b>	
3. The Los Angeles Riots: A Study in Crisis Paralysis .....	49
<i>Abraham H. Miller</i>	
4. Avoiding War, Inviting Defeat: The Srebrenica Crisis, July 1995 .....	61
<i>Jan Willem Honig</i>	
5. The Stephen Lawrence Case: The London Metropolitan Police in Crisis .....	74
<i>Brian D. Jacobs and Arjen Boin</i>	
6. From Ethnicity to Genocide in Rwanda .....	89
<i>Filip Reyntjens</i>	
<b>Part Three: Responding to Crises: Decision Making, Leadership, and Coordination</b>	
7. Decision Making in Crises: The <i>Piper Alpha</i> Disaster .....	103
<i>Rhona Flin</i>	



8. Organizational Learning from Seismic Risk:  
The 1999 Marmara and Duzce, Turkey Earthquakes . . . . .119  
*Louise K. Comfort and Yesim Sungu*
9. From Accident to Disaster: The Response to the Hercules  
Crash . . . . .143  
*Jos A. Rijpma and Menno J. van Duin*
10. Mad Cow Disease: The Role of Experts and European  
Crisis Management . . . . .155  
*Jesper Grönvall*

#### **Part Four: The Long Shadow of Crises: Politicization, Traumas and Learning**

11. From Crisis to Trauma: The Palme Assassination Case . . . . .177  
*Dan Hansén and Eric K. Stern*
12. Shifting Identities: The Reconstructive Mode of the Bijlmer  
Plane Crash . . . . .200  
*Uriel Rosenthal, Arjen Boin and Celesta J. Bos*
13. The Fall of TWA Flight 800 . . . . .216  
*Michael T. Charles*
14. Crisis and Reform in Belgium: The Dutroux Affair and the  
Criminal Justice System . . . . .235  
*Cyrille Fijnaut*

#### **Part Five: The Challenges of Modernization: Unintended Consequences and Crises to Come**

15. Future IT Disasters: A Speculative Exploration . . . . .251  
*Gene I. Rochlin*
16. Viral Epidemics: Past and Future . . . . .267  
*Michael L. Alkan*
17. Instant and Creeping Environmental Crises in Russia . . . . .281  
*Boris Porfiriev*
18. Water and the Globalizing Economy: The Coming Crisis . . . . .297  
*Helen Ingram*

19.	Preparing for the Inevitable: Japan's Ongoing Search for Best Crisis Management Practices . . . . .	307
	<i>Akira Nakamura</i>	
20.	The Evolution of Disaster Reduction as an International Strategy: Policy Implications for the Future . . . . .	316
	<i>Terry Jeggle</i>	
21.	Crises to Come: Comments and Findings . . . . .	342
	Participants: <i>Yehezkel Dror, Patrick Lagadec, Boris Porfiriev,</i> <i>Enrico L. (Henry) Quarantelli</i>	
	Moderators: <i>Uriel Rosenthal, Arjen Boin and Louise K. Comfort</i>	
	<i>Index</i> . . . . .	351

# **MANAGING CRISES**

**Part 1**

**INTRODUCTION**

## Chapter 1

# THE CHANGING WORLD OF CRISES AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT

URIEL ROSENTHAL, ARJEN BOIN  
AND LOUISE K. COMFORT

### 1. Introduction: Crises and Crisis Research<sup>1</sup>

---

Crises are characteristic features of our society. No continent or country in the world is free from hazards and risks, disasters and calamities, conflict and turmoil, revolt and revolutions, riots and terrorism. The history of countries and cultures is woven around unique patterns of dramatic events, often symbolizing hardship, evil, distress, or danger. The labels and names continue to speak for themselves: *Titanic*, the 1918 Spanish Flu epidemic, Black Monday at Wall Street, the Berlin Blockade, Suez, the Cuba Missile Crisis, the Yom Kippur War, Tiananmen Square, Chernobyl, Bhopal, Hurricane Andrew, Northridge, Kobe, KAL 007, Tenerife, Lockerbie, Oklahoma City, Concorde, World Trade Center, Koersk—to name but a few historic examples.

For a long period of time, social scientists have felt a bit uncomfortable about crises. Crises were antithetical to the strenuous efforts of mainstream social science to study safe and sound objects, quantifiable trends, patterns, and regularities. The traditional preoccupation with questions of social and political order, the Cartesian logic, and the longing for a reflection of natural science's rigor all nurtured a preference for predictability, regularity,

and periodicity. The analysis of social and political change—questions of *discontinuities*—was fitted within this framework of social and political order. Crises were viewed in functional terms as facilitators of long-awaited change; crisis management was interpreted as a mechanism towards the restoration of normalcy.

The world of crisis research, in turn, has long been dominated by natural agents, foreign enemies, and sudden disasters (Rosenthal, 1998). Crises were studied as the manifestation of “unness” (Hewitt, 1983). The natural disaster—the archetype of crisis—epitomizes this perception of unness: An act of God that is unwanted, unexpected, unprecedented, and almost unmanageable, causing widespread disbelief and uncertainty. Crises were the disasters that visited death and destruction upon communities, wreaking havoc before settling into collective memory. They were the primary threat to the nation-state: war, revolution, and revolt challenged the national authorities and their agents. Crises were distinct events, easily demarcated in time and space, and if necessary, easy to hide from the outside world.

We argue that this conception of crisis is too narrow in today's world of receding borders, spectacular technological advancements, and increased pace. Crises can no longer be considered as external features of everyday life, as threatening events

---

1. The authors wish to thank Yehezkel Dror, Henry Quarantelli, Paul 't Hart, Eric Stern and Fredrik Bynander for their constructive comments on earlier versions of this chapter.

awaiting us “somewhere out there.” They have become part of our world, part of the way we live and want to live.

Crises should be understood as periods of upheaval and collective stress, disturbing everyday patterns and threatening core values and structures of a social system in unexpected, often unconceivable, ways (Rosenthal, Charles & 't Hart, 1989). Today's crisis is not a discrete event, but a process unfolding as manifold forces interact in unforeseen and disturbing ways. Modern crises are increasingly characterized by complexity, interdependence, and politicization. Tomorrow's crisis, in turn, will look different from today and yesterday's crises.

Many social scientists, public officials, and corporate executives now share an urge to understand how and why crises occur, what could have been done to avoid them, and what can be done to prevent them from happening in the future.<sup>2</sup> The study of crisis and crisis management is gaining momentum, but the changing nature of crisis poses conceptual and theoretical problems to the crisis researcher. The study of disasters and crises must, therefore, reach far beyond the traditional concerns of disaster sociologists, White House students, and “Kremlin watchers.” In this book, we try to bridge the discrepancy between the conventional social science view and the changing world of crises. We provide the outline of a dynamic and contingent approach to crises and crisis management that may help crisis researchers and practitioners to deal with today and tomorrow's crisis challenges.

## 2. The State of Affairs

---

The field of crisis research has seen interesting developments in recent years. For instance, the field has become less attached to its intellectual roots of disaster research and international conflict

studies. The distinction between “man-made disasters” and acts of God has become obsolete (Quarantelli, 1998; Steinberg, 2000); the preoccupation with nuclear escalation has yielded to new forms of crisis. The proliferation of old and new academic journals and the topics treated in these journals testify to a broadening of scope and focus.<sup>3</sup>

The field is continuously enriched with many new case studies which are increasingly studied from a multidisciplinary perspective. In addition to disaster sociologists and international relations experts, students of business administration, psychology, public administration, organization theory, law, risk analysis, and economics have taken a strong interest in the crisis topic. Practitioners, as well, have demonstrated an increased willingness to reflect upon their experiences.

Our understanding of crises is further enhanced by the attention that crisis researchers pay to the different stages of crises and crisis management. The preoccupation with tragic choices and critical decisions has given way to a widespread understanding that other phases also matter for the course and outcome of a crisis. In studies of crisis management, issues of planning, prevention, and aftercare have gained importance. The field now possesses full-fledged theories on the causes and developments of different types of crises, a set of regularities of the crisis process, and a well-developed understanding of the consequences of crises and crisis management. Let us briefly explore some of the key characteristics, conditions, and consequences of modern crises as they emerge from the literature.

## CRISIS CHARACTERISTICS

In an omnibus definition, a crisis can be understood in terms of “a serious threat to the basic structures or the fundamental values and norms of a system, which under time pressure and highly uncertain circumstances necessitates making critical

---

2. In terms of financial damages and human suffering, the costs of disasters have risen dramatically (Cuny, 1983; Albala-Bertrand, 1993; Hills, 1998; for the financial costs and donor contributions for complex emergencies and natural disasters, see <[www.reliefweb.int](http://www.reliefweb.int)>).

3. For instance, the *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management* publishes articles on a wide variety of crises in both the private and public sector (Rosenthal & Kouzmin, 1993). Topics include the demise of BCCI and Pan Am, the brain-drain in Lebanon, the Ebola virus, technological innovations, the maintenance of nuclear power plants, product recalls, mega-cities, and risk management in the chemical industry.