

**EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO  
SCHOOL VIOLENCE**

# EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO SCHOOL VIOLENCE

A Guide for Educators and  
Law Enforcement Personnel

*By*

TONY L. JONES



**Charles C Thomas**  
PUBLISHER • LTD.



*Published and Distributed Throughout the World by*

CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.  
2600 South First Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62704

This book is protected by copyright. No part of  
it may be reproduced in any manner without  
written permission from the publisher.

© 2001 by CHARLES C THOMAS • PUBLISHER, LTD.

ISBN 0-398-07188-8 (hard)  
ISBN 0-398-07189-6 (paper)

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 2001023085

*With THOMAS BOOKS careful attention is given to all details of manufacturing  
and design. It is the Publisher's desire to present books that are satisfactory as to their  
physical qualities and artistic possibilities and appropriate for their particular use.  
THOMAS BOOKS will be true to those laws of quality that assure a good name  
and good will.*

*Printed in the United States of America  
MM-R-3*

#### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Jones, Tony L.

Effective response to school violence : a guide for educators and law enforcement  
personnel / by Tony L. Jones.

p.cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-398-07188-8 (hard) -- ISBN 0-398-07189-6 (pbk.)

1. School violence--Prevention--United States. 2. School crisis management--United  
States. 3. Schools--United States--Security measures. I. Title.

LB3013.3 J69 2001  
371.7'82'09733--dc21

2001023085

This book is dedicated to the people who have experienced school violence. These people have experienced the incalculable costs of death, emotional distress, physical injury, facility destruction, disruption of classes, negative public perception, civil/criminal lawsuits and the resulting embarrassment these acts generate. These people need to know that they are not alone; nearly everyone in a community, if not the nation, is touched in some way.

Their sacrifice has not been in vain. Entire communities now have a better awareness and understanding of school violence. The benefits from this act are both long-term and short-term. Over the long term, community awareness and involvement will help reduce school violence. In the short-term, it is vital that people realize that school violence can occur in their community.

Finally, society has come to understand that school safety is everyone's job. Teachers, administrators, parents, community members, and students all have a stake in proactive measures focused on identifying troubled students, intervening when required, quickly and efficiently responding to school violence in progress and addressing the tragic aftermath that will follow.

## INTRODUCTION

Granted, most schools are safe. Fewer than one percent of all violent deaths of children occur on school grounds; however, no school is immune from school violence. As a result of the recent armed assaults targeting learning institutions, many school administrators and government entities are searching for proactive solutions. Indeed, educational entities can no longer afford the false assumption that “nothing has ever happened here and nothing ever will.” This false assumption ignores the changing contemporary circumstances of politics, demographics, sociological views, target criticality, and vulnerability. Indeed, violence can happen at any time, anywhere, and include anyone’s son or daughter.

Furthermore, school violence incidents often generate fear and concern among victims, survivors, and the broader public, and have the potential to escalate into copy-cat actions. Recent school shootings have changed the face of school security forever and serve as harbingers of a new era in school violence. It is an era when violence is both random and carefully executed, ambitious and without conscious, horrifically deadly and yet perpetrated by the child next door. Twelve shootings in U.S. schools brings this point home. They include the following:

1. February 19, 1997–Bethel, Arkansas—A 16-year-old student fatally shoots a fellow student and the principal, and wounds two other students.
2. October 1, 1997–Pearl, Mississippi—A 17-year-old boy kills his mother and shoots nine students, two fatally.
3. December 1, 1997–West Paducah, Kentucky—Three students are killed and five others wounded by a 14-year-old student.
4. March 24, 1998–Jonesboro, Arkansas—Four girls and one teacher are shot to death and ten people wounded when two boys, 11 and 13, open fire from the woods after setting off a false fire alarm at a middle school.
5. April 24, 1998–Edinboro, Pennsylvania—A science teacher is shot to death, another teacher and two pupils are wounded in front of students at an eighth-grade graduation dance. A 14-year-old student awaits trial.
6. May 19, 1998–Fayetteville, Tennessee—An 18-year-old honor student opens fire in a high school parking lot, killing a classmate who was dating his ex-girlfriend.
7. May 21, 1998–Springfield, Oregon—A 15-year-old boy opens fire

killing two students and injuring more than 20. His parents are found slain in their home.

8. April 16, 1999—Notus, Idaho—A high school sophomore fires two shotgun blasts in a school hallway. No injuries.

9. April 20, 1999—Littleton, Colorado—Two young men, ages 17 and 18, dressed in black trench coats, open fire, killing 12 students and one teacher.

10. May 20, 1999—Conyers, Georgia—A 15-year-old boy armed with two guns, opens fire on students—wounding six. The suspect surrendered to the assistant principal.

11. November 19, 1999—Deming, New Mexico—A young boy, dressed in camouflage clothing, shoots and critically wounds a 13-year-old female classmate in the lobby of a middle school. The victim dies two days later. The boy also pointed the gun at the principal and assistant principle before surrendering.

12. December 7, 1999—Fort Gibson, Oklahoma—A 13-year-old boy, armed with a handgun shoots four students as they attempted to enter a middle school before classes started. The boy fired 14 rounds before dropping the handgun.

**NOTE:** Please keep in mind, the above described incidents are actual shootings, they do not include the numerous threats and other types of violent confrontations that are made throughout the country every day. In fact, the author was going to record and include all incidences of school violence, but they have become so numerous that gathering information would be a huge tasking which would have no foreseeable end point.

There are few accurate up-to-date statistics tracking how many firearms or other weapons have been found in schools or discovered before entry into schools. Further, there are many studies in the statistical pool. Some studies reveal discrepancies, however, most studies reveal a great deal of concurrence. One example, an Executive Summary titled *Indicators of School Crime and Safety 1998*, prepared by the U.S. Department of Education and the U.S. Department of Justice, has shed some light on many statistics concerning school violence.

For example, in 1996, students ages 12 through 18 were victims of about 225,000 incidents of nonfatal serious violent crime at school and about 671,000 incidents away from school. In 1996, 5 percent of all 12th graders reported that they had been injured with a weapon such as a knife, gun, or club during the previous 12 months while they were at school, and another 12 percent reported that they had been injured on purpose without a weapon while at school. Additionally, in 1996-97, 10 percent of all public schools reported at least one serious violent crime to the police or a law enforcement representative. Furthermore, school principals also reported a number of serious violent crimes including murder, rape or other type of sexual battery,

suicide, physical attack or fight with a weapon, or robbery. Finally, 76 students were murdered or committed suicide at school during the combined 1992-93 and 1993-94 school years (the latest period for which this type of data is available).

Some additional data compiled by the U.S. Department of Education in 1996 concerning violence and security in public schools includes: 10 percent of all public schools experienced one or more serious violent crimes (i.e., murder, rape, suicide, physical attack or fight with a weapon) that were reported to police or other law enforcement officials; 45 percent of elementary schools, 74 percent of middle schools and 77 percent of high schools reported one or more violent incidents, and 78 percent of schools reported having some type of formal violence-prevention or violence-reduction program.

The U.S. Department of Education also broke these statistics out into categories; they include elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools. Elementary schools reported the following criminal incidents to the police: 1 percent reported rape/sexual battery; 1 percent reported robbery; 2 percent reported physical attack or fight with a weapon; 31 percent reported vandalism; 19 percent reported theft or larceny; and 12 percent reported physical attack or fight without a weapon. Middle school statistics include: 5 percent reported rape/sexual battery; 5 percent reported robbery; 12 percent reported physical attack or fight with a weapon; 47 percent reported vandalism; 44 percent reported theft or larceny; and 51 percent reported physical attack or fight without a weapon. High school statistics include: 8 percent reported rape/sexual battery; 8 percent reported robbery; 13 percent reported physical attack or fight with a weapon; 52 percent reported vandalism; 55 percent reported theft or larceny; and 55 percent reported physical attack or fight without a weapon.'

Additionally, in 1997, the Department of Education polled 1,234 regular public, elementary, middle, and secondary schools systems located throughout the United States and found that 18 percent of students polled reported carrying a weapon such as a knife, gun, or club at any time in the past 30 days. About 9 percent of the students polled reported that they had carried a weapon on school property in the past 30 days. Further, males were about three times more likely than females to carry a weapon on school property. Furthermore, students in lower grades were more likely to have carried a weapon anywhere in the previous 30 days than students in higher grades. Finally, some agencies estimate that over three million crimes occurred in schools during this time frame. One can see this figure is far beyond the reported and recorded figures.

Finally, *USA Weekend's* 13th Annual Teen Survey conducted in the Fall of 1999 questioned a total of 129,593 students in grades 6-12 and found that six

in ten students believed that it was possible that a violent event on the scale of Columbine could occur at their school. Further, one in five students stated they had felt afraid at school since the Coulmbine High School killings, with younger students and girls being the most fearful. One in four said they had been intentionally hit at school with nearly four in ten boys stating they had been struck. Seven in ten stated they would feel happier if schools were safer and more than half said they would learn more. Three in four reported that a glance, a slight, or bumping into people caused most conflicts at school. Nearly three in ten had been physically threatened. Eight in ten reported seeing a school fight and one in ten said students carry weapons at school. Nearly four in five had been robbed of something worth more than ten dollars at school and two in three students said there were cliques that were picked on. When asked for solutions, one in five students said that metal detectors would make schools safer, four in ten wanted bad kids sent away, and one in five wanted conflict resolution training.

The above statistics clarify why violence is a major concern to parents, students, teachers, and the administration of any school. These statistics are even more disconcerting when one considers the fact that many criminal acts are not reported due to the fact that some school administrators do not want the public to think they run a “bad school” or have “bad children.” For example, the author has been advised of more than one incident involving the discovery of firearms in school buildings which were not reported. Furthermore, school personnel may be reluctant to take actions against miscreants in their care because they believe that their is no such thing as a bad child or that it is their mission to straighten the student out. School staff may also fear a lawsuit if they take disciplinary action. Finally, teachers and staff may be fearful for their own and their families’ safety from student retaliation.

As a result of these statistics, there are a great number of proactive measures being developed and implemented to make the introduction of weapons into a school building as difficult as possible. Many of the valuable proactive measures include training teachers to identify troubled youths; counseling programs; students signing contracts to stop violence; students wearing ribbons to pledge support in stopping violence; installation of closed circuit television surveillance systems (CCTV), alarm systems, metal detectors and explosive device screeners; and the hiring of a police presence. Crisis response planning is integral to enhancing any school security effort. The best planning effort starts with prevention and awareness.

The above preventative measures are adopted to create a safe school environment. However, school administrators cannot count on these measures to completely prevent school violence unless security measures approach the level of a correctional system. Consider the fact that firearms



even find their way into correctional facilities. Further, school violence occurs in a unique context in every school and every situation making the adoption of any one proactive program impossible. Moreover, school communities can do everything recommended and still experience violence. “There is no single answer, no simple solution, and no guarantees.”

To reiterate, many proactive measures are sound; however, many school systems can do more to enhance school security. Any safety/security program is incomplete if an effective crisis response plan is not developed to deal with violence prevention program failure. Proactive measure failure has been demonstrated by the recent school shooting in Georgia. To refresh the reader’s memory, the school in Georgia had installed a new CCTV system and had hired a police presence. Neither of these concepts prevented the student (perpetrator) from entering the school with a firearm or stopped this individual from initiating his shooting spree.

Indeed, many proactive solutions can be effective; however, one of the most important proactive solutions concerns the development of a crisis response procedure. This plan is designed to provide school communities with reliable and practical information about what can be done to assist first responders in handling a school violence crisis. Focal points of concern include intervening during a crisis and responding in the aftermath of tragedy. A crisis response plan offers the most efficient path to effective rapid response operations assisting special response police forces, fire department personnel, medical aid personnel and ancillary support personnel saving the lives of hostages, students, teachers, support personnel, visitors, ancillary responders, police officers, and perpetrators. Additionally, these plans should reduce collateral damage typically generated by high threat operations. Further, response plans should also generate a detailed analysis valuable for formulating effective contingency plans. Finally, response programs will prove exceptionally valuable the more crisis situations change and the more chaotic the situation becomes.

One of the most common mistakes personnel make when developing crisis response programs is attempting to make a plan without sufficient information. Make no mistake, existing or projected natural emergency plans are not designed to accomplish this essential mission. Many school administrators believe existing or “tweaked” natural emergency plans are adequate response measures when firearms denial programs fail. Nothing could be further from the truth. The main point of the crisis response plan revolves around the principle of “Saving lives when all other proactive means have failed.” Crisis response plans should focus on the elements of rapid containment, area control, and the resecuring of the effected area. Remember, time equates to lives—the longer the perpetrators remain active, the higher the likelihood that additional people will be killed.

What parents want, and what the country demands is a solid plan to enhance school security. Crisis response plans must be site specific and designed to streamline the planning efforts of all emergency responders, heightening personnel survivability and mission success by reducing time-on-target mechanics prior to mission initiation. Indeed, time equals lives—the longer it takes law enforcement officials/emergency responders to act, the higher the likelihood will be that additional people will be killed. The incalculable costs of death, facility destruction, disruption of classes, negative public perception, civil/criminal lawsuits, and the resulting embarrassment of these acts easily exceed the time and cost of any crisis response plan development.

Indeed, school violence incidents have a unique impact on society which may be compounded in many ways. There may be additional fear generated for victims because they were chosen as a target for a specific purpose. Because the basis for attack is often due to their identity, victims may experience a deep personal crisis. Thus, victims may reject the aspect of themselves that was the target for their attack. Assumptions about life may be shattered forever. Terror may be exacerbated because society may be slow to respond in effective ways heightening feelings of vulnerability to repeat attack. Grief may be more intense because victims may lose their sense of community or feel betrayed by educational systems. School violence often appears to be senseless and random making explanations and forgiveness difficult. Students may become afraid to associate with other members of a group that has been targeted. The detrimental impact of school violence incidents necessitates the development of aggressive proactive measures.

In conclusion, for some schools violence may be a minor issue; for others it may be a daily presence. Though the most extreme forms of violence are rare, the threat of all kinds of violence can keep students away from school, prevent them from going to after-school events, and leave them in fear every day. Thus, educational entities can no longer afford the false assumption that “nothing has ever happened here and nothing ever will.” Indeed, it has come to the point where schools have to change their attitude about school violence. Many schools think they have a handle on this problem when they don’t. Most of the systems that have been put into place are inadequate at best. School administrators cannot simply rely on any existing or projected security/safety systems to completely prevent the introduction of a weapon into the school environment, nor can they expect to thwart all of the efforts of a violent student.

Crisis response plans designed to dovetail into external agency mission concepts are a mandatory life saving concept. Crisis response plans represent a vital part of the school safety trilogy (identification, intervention, and response). Indeed, the development of a crisis response program will demon-

strate to community organizations and individual citizens that school personnel and law enforcement entities are aware of security concerns and are proactively involved in addressing these issues. Further, students, educators, parents, law enforcement entities, and community members often feel secure as a result of a well-conceived plan. Finally, hopefully the information covered in this book will play a role in furthering efforts to protect America's children.

# CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Introduction</i> .....	vii
<i>List of Figures</i> .....	xxi
<i>List of Response Plan Exercises</i> .....	xxv
 <i>Chapter</i>	
1. IDENTIFYING THE OFFENDER .....	3
Early Warning Signs .....	3
Early Warning Sign Misinterpretation .....	4
Imminent Warning Signs .....	5
Teaching Students to Kill .....	7
Intervention .....	8
The Responsibilities of Parents .....	8
The Responsibilities of Teachers and School Officials .....	10
The Responsibilities of Students .....	12
The Responsibilities of Law Enforcement Officials .....	14
The Responsibilities of the Community .....	15
The Responsibilities of the Juvenile Justice System .....	15
2. STUDENTS PLANNING AN ATTACK .....	18
Types of Offenders .....	18
Students Planning an Attack .....	19
Target Selection .....	19
Perpetrator Tactics .....	21
Subversion .....	21
Sabotage .....	22
Threats or Hoaxes .....	22
Bombs or Explosives .....	22
Arson .....	23
Assassination .....	23
Media Manipulation .....	23
Armed Raids .....	24
Hostage Taking .....	24

Operation Style . . . . .	.24
The Mechanics of Planning and Developing a Violent Attack . . . . .	.24
Thwarting the Attack . . . . .	.25
3. INTEGRATED SECURITY SYSTEMS FOR EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES . . . . .	.27
Introduction to Integrated Security Systems . . . . .	.27
Security Surveys . . . . .	.30
Initiating the Security Survey . . . . .	.32
Presurvey Planning Phase . . . . .	.33
Conduct of Events . . . . .	.33
Entrance Briefing . . . . .	.34
The School Site Tour . . . . .	.34
Presurvey Data . . . . .	.34
Performing the Security Survey . . . . .	.34
Arguments Against Security Initiatives . . . . .	.41
School Security: A Comprehensive Program . . . . .	.42
Physical Security Philosophy . . . . .	.44
Physical Barriers . . . . .	.44
Protective Lighting . . . . .	.47
Mechanically/Manually Operated Lock Systems . . . . .	.49
Electronically Operated Lock Systems . . . . .	.51
Seals and Seal Presses . . . . .	.52
Security System Technologies . . . . .	.52
Alarms . . . . .	.53
Reaction Systems . . . . .	.57
Popular School Security Systems . . . . .	.57
Hand-held Metal Detectors . . . . .	.58
Metal-Tec 1400 . . . . .	.61
Garrett Enforcer G-2 . . . . .	.62
Walk-through Metal Detectors . . . . .	.62
Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) . . . . .	.66
Designing an IDS . . . . .	.67
IDS Types . . . . .	.67
IDS Sensors . . . . .	.68
Probability of Detection . . . . .	.68
False Alarms/Nuisance Alarms . . . . .	.69
Vulnerability to Defeat . . . . .	.69
Explosives/Narcotics Detection . . . . .	.69
Intelligent Detection Systems . . . . .	.70
Chemical Trace Detection Systems . . . . .	.70

Video Surveillance Systems (CCTV) .....	72
CCTV Terminology and Operating Requirements .....	74
Covert Cameras .....	76
Dummy Cameras .....	77
Video Recording Equipment VCR .....	77
Multiplexers .....	77
Time-Lapse Recorders .....	78
Event Recorders .....	78
Digital Recorders .....	78
VCR Tapes and Recording Plans .....	78
CCTV Warning Signs .....	79
Selecting Bids for CCTV Systems .....	79
Video Intercom Systems .....	79
Blast Mitigation .....	80
Window Protection .....	80
Security Robots .....	81
Mobile and Static X-ray Equipment .....	83
Entry Control Philosophy .....	88
Entry Control Operations .....	89
Duress Alarm Devices .....	92
Supporting Physical Security Systems .....	93
New School Designs .....	94
Security Guards .....	94
School Resource Officer (SRO) .....	97
Canine Operations .....	98
Addressing Cost Issues .....	99
Concluding Remarks .....	105
4. EFFECTIVE RESPONSE MECHANICS .....	107
Response Planning Purpose .....	107
Response Planning .....	108
Response Planning Mechanics .....	108
OPSEC Techniques .....	108
The Response Mission .....	109
Response Planning Committee .....	110
Chief Planning Officer .....	110
Administrative Support .....	111
Chief of School Security or School Resource Officer (SRO) ..	111
Logistics Officer .....	112
Facility Architects and Engineers .....	113
School Medical Personnel .....	113
School Legal Representative .....	117
School Media Spokesman or Public Information	

Officer (PIO) .....	117
Environmental, Safety, and Health (ES&H) Officer .....	121
Other Elements .....	122
School Violence Response Plan Format and Contents .....	122
School Violence Response Plan Information .....	124
Description of the Building(s) .....	124
Activity Conducted in Each Building .....	125
Priority of Each Building .....	125
Sensitivity of Each Building .....	125
Physical and Environmental Conditions .....	125
School Personnel Responsible for Each Building .....	126
Operational Considerations .....	126
Supporting Utilities .....	126
Visual Representation of Campus Structures .....	127
Internal and External Security Systems .....	128
Evaluation of Lighting Systems .....	128
Fence Lines .....	128
Survey of Parking Facilities .....	129
Evaluation of Site Security Forces .....	129
Survey of Communication Systems .....	129
Survey of Existing Vulnerability Countermeasures .....	129
Survey of Perimeter Terrain .....	129
Employee Information .....	129
Student Information .....	129
Current and Potential Threat Data .....	130
Copies of All Relevant Security, Safety, and Fire Regulations ..	130
Environmental, Safety and Health Considerations .....	130
Evaluation of School System Interface with Local Governments and Population Include Communication Methods and Points of Contact .....	131
Attachment of Proactive Measures and Their Results .....	132
Counseling Services .....	132
Scholastic Crime Stopper Programs .....	133
Telephone Tip Lines .....	133
Anonymous Tip Line Box(s) .....	133
Zero Tolerance Programs .....	133
Reward Programs .....	134
Students Traveling and Arriving Safely (STARS) Program .....	134
Gun-Safety Programs .....	135
School Resource Officer Assignment .....	136
Gang, Drug, Cult, and Counterviolence Training for Educational Personnel .....	137

Background Checks on All Personnel Working in the Educational Facility .....137

Supporting Policies .....138

Searches .....138

Interview and Interrogation .....140

Defense-in-Depth .....144

Attachment of Any Past Security/Safety Surveys .....145

Attachment of Any Crisis Procedure Checklists .....145

Lock-Downs .....148

Safe Havens .....148

Evacuation .....152

Emergency Notification List .....153

Incident Command System (ICS) .....154

Aftermath Checklist .....154

Acquiring Response Plan Information .....154

Response Procedure Completion .....155

Characteristics of a Good Plan .....155

Response Plan Exercises .....156

Conducting Drills and Full-Scale Exercises .....157

Concluding Remarks .....165

5. TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS .....166

Concealed or Disguised Weapons .....166

The Knife Wielder .....169

Concealed Carry Methods .....172

Free Carry .....173

Elastic Medical Aids .....173

Paper Bag .....174

Cardboard Box Carry .....175

Garment Over the Hand Carry .....175

Brief Case Carry .....175

Under the Vehicle Seat Carry .....176

Glove Box Carry .....176

Vehicle Map Pocket Carry .....177

Vehicle Garment Hook Carry .....177

Vehicle Trunk Carry .....177

Body Cavity Carry .....177

Lanyard Carry .....178

Belt Buckle Carry .....178

Self-Contained Firearm/Holster Combination Carry .....178

Hollow Book Carry .....178

Medical Sling Carry .....179

Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Operations .....181



Tactical Containment Operations . . . . .	184
Response to Booby Traps and/or Explosive Devices . . . . .	187
The Definition of a Booby Trap . . . . .	188
Prerequisites for Booby-Trap Deployment . . . . .	188
Booby-Trap Information Sources . . . . .	188
Booby Traps used as Alarms or Early Warning Devices . . . . .	188
Passive Alarms . . . . .	189
Active Alarms—Designed to Injure . . . . .	191
Booby Traps Designed to Maim or Kill . . . . .	192
Booby-Trap Construction . . . . .	197
Booby-Trap Initiation . . . . .	198
Mechanical Triggers . . . . .	198
Electrical Triggers . . . . .	198
Chemical Triggers . . . . .	198
Combination Triggers . . . . .	199
Multiple Triggers . . . . .	199
Discovering and Reacting to Booby Traps . . . . .	199
Recognizing a Booby Trap . . . . .	199
Searching for Booby Traps . . . . .	200
Searching for Booby Traps in Vehicles . . . . .	203
Bomb Dogs . . . . .	204
Reacting to Booby Traps . . . . .	204
Booby Trap Training Courses . . . . .	205
Using Distraction/Diversionsary Devices . . . . .	206
Specialty Impact Munitions . . . . .	210
Chemical Agent Munitions . . . . .	213
Seeking School Violence Crisis Response Training . . . . .	214
 <i>Concluding Remarks</i> . . . . .	 218
 <i>Appendix</i>	
<i>Technical Information Sources</i> . . . . .	223
<i>Organizations Instrumental in Countering School Violence</i> . . . . .	226
<i>Integrated Security System Technology</i> . . . . .	227
<i>Intrusion Detection System Sources</i> . . . . .	227
<i>Metal Detection Sources</i> . . . . .	228
<i>Explosive/Narcotics Detection Technology Resources</i> . . . . .	228
<i>Interactive Visual Imaging</i> . . . . .	229
<i>X-Ray Screening Resources</i> . . . . .	229
<i>Grant Resources</i> . . . . .	229
<i>First Responder Training Resources</i> . . . . .	230
 <i>Index</i> . . . . .	 231

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>Page</i>
1. Drawings of a facility used, when planning an attack . . . . .	20
2. Evacuation routes taken from a facility—used for planning an attack . . . . .	21
3. Explosive device enhanced with an accelerant . . . . .	23
4. Chart of an integrated security system . . . . .	28
5. Razor wire . . . . .	35
6. Fence condition . . . . .	36
7. Fence condition . . . . .	37
8. Fence condition . . . . .	37
9. Fence condition . . . . .	37
10. Fence condition . . . . .	38
11. Fence condition . . . . .	38
12. Fence condition . . . . .	39
13. Fence condition . . . . .	39
14. Fence condition . . . . .	40
15. Lighting condition . . . . .	40
16. Power supply . . . . .	40
17. Tamper indicating devices . . . . .	53
18. Motion detector . . . . .	54
19. Contact switch . . . . .	54
20. Sound and impact sensor . . . . .	54
21. Local alarm with magnetic switch . . . . .	54
22. Control pad used to set or deactivate alarms . . . . .	55
23. Personal duress alarm . . . . .	56
24. Garrett hand-held metal detector . . . . .	58
25. Metal-Tac hand-held metal detector . . . . .	59
26. Metorex hand-held metal detector . . . . .	59
27. Metorex walk-through metal detector . . . . .	63
28. ITI Itemiser . . . . .	72
29. Vapor tracer . . . . .	72
30. X-ray looking into a vehicle . . . . .	84
31. X-ray looking into a brief case . . . . .	84
32. X-ray looking into a notebook and parcel . . . . .	85

33.	Helicopter being used for gathering aerial photographs . . . . .	127
34.	Concealed weapons . . . . .	168
35.	Concealed weapons . . . . .	169
36.	Space-age, plastic-edged weapons . . . . .	170
37.	Concealment containers . . . . .	170
38.	Concealment containers . . . . .	170
39.	Knives . . . . .	172
40.	Free carry . . . . .	173
41.	Elastic medical aid carry . . . . .	174
42.	Paper bag carry . . . . .	174
43.	Cardboard box carry . . . . .	175
44.	Garment over the hand carry . . . . .	175
45.	Briefcase carry . . . . .	175
46.	Under the seat carry . . . . .	176
47.	Glovebox carry . . . . .	176
48.	Map pocket carry . . . . .	176
49.	Vehicle hook carry . . . . .	177
50.	Vehicle trunk carry . . . . .	177
51.	Belt buckle carry . . . . .	178
52.	Belt buckle carry . . . . .	178
53.	Self-contained carry . . . . .	179
54.	Self-contained carry . . . . .	179
55.	Medical sling carry . . . . .	179
56.	Inside the waist-band carry . . . . .	180
57.	Holster attached to pager . . . . .	180
58.	Handgun in fanny pack . . . . .	180
59.	Thigh carry . . . . .	180
60.	Ankle carry . . . . .	181
61.	Boot carry . . . . .	181
62.	Shoulder holster and multiposition holster . . . . .	181
63.	Officer in gas mask . . . . .	190
64.	Smoke grenade . . . . .	191
65.	Fire bomb detonating . . . . .	193
66.	Fire bomb detonating . . . . .	194
67.	Fire bomb detonating . . . . .	194
68.	Military practice grenade with fuzes . . . . .	194
69.	Spike trap . . . . .	195
70.	Nail trap . . . . .	195
71.	Rat trap device . . . . .	195
72.	Homemade napalm . . . . .	196
73.	Homemade napalm . . . . .	196
74.	Shotgun shell trap . . . . .	196
75.	Military artillery simulator . . . . .	197

76.	Military hand grenade and artillery simulator .....	197
77.	Officer holding a distraction device .....	209
78.	Specialty impact projectiles .....	213
79.	Specialty impact projectiles .....	213
80.	Specialty impact projectiles .....	213
81.	Chemical agent aerosol .....	214
82.	First responder training .....	215
83.	First responder training .....	216
84.	First responder training .....	216
85.	First responder training .....	217
86.	First responder training .....	217
87.	First responder training .....	218

## LIST OF RESPONSE PLAN EXERCISES

	<i>Page</i>
1. Officer practicing containment operations . . . . .	146
2. Officers preparing to enter a school during an exercise . . . . .	146
3. Officers entering a school during an exercise . . . . .	146
4. Officers practicing negotiating a school hallway . . . . .	146
5. Officers moving in a quad formation during an exercise . . . . .	146
6. Student posing as an active shooter during an exercise . . . . .	146
7. Student posing as an active shooter, engaging police personnel during an exercise . . . . .	147
8. Teacher practicing a room evacuation during an exercise . . . . .	147
9. Students practicing a lockdown procedure during an exercise. . . . .	147
10. Officer entering a room during an exercise . . . . .	147
11. Officers challenging an active shooter during an exercise . . . . .	147
12. Officers completing an active shooter arrest during an exercise . . . . .	147